

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/647,384	PEETERS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Thomas A. Morrison	3653	

**All Participants:**

(1) Thomas A. Morrison.

(2) Mr. Paul C. Lewis (Reg. No. 43,368).

**Date of Interview:** 2 January 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*11*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*


  

**Part III.**


☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 PATRICK MACKEY  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 6000

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Contacted Mr. Lewis (applicant's representative) to get permission to correct the numbering in Fig. 2b and correct a minor error in claim 11, as per the attached examiner's amendment. During the telephone conversation, Mr. Lewis approved such changes to the drawings and claims.